



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,164	11/25/2003	Yukie Murata	US-114	4320	
38108	7590 10/13/2005		EXAMINER		
CERMAK & KENEALY LLP ACS LLC			MELLER, MICHAEL V		
515 EAST BRADDOCK ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE B		1655			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DATE MAII ED: 10/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	olication No. Applicant(s)					
•	Office Action Summary	10/720,16	1	MURATA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael V.		1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
~=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
تـــار-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
<u> </u>								
· ·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-13 and 15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· -	_							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 14</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	· <u> </u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 3.7 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:								

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10, 14, drawn to a composition.

Group II, claim(s) 11-13, 15, drawn to a method of using the composition.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the cited prior art shows that there is no special technical feature.

During a telephone conversation with Shelly Cermak on 9/26/2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-10, 14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11-13, 15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 355157517 (abstract), JP 405004929 (abstract) or JP 411285349 (abstract).

All three references each teach that Shiitake mushroom is extracted with an aqueous solution. The product yielded has the claimed characteristics since these characteristics are inherent to the claimed composition. Since shiitake mushrooms are

Art Unit: 1655

used in the references as they are in the claims, the same product will be yielded in the references as claimed.

Page 4

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 355157517 (abstract), JP 405004929 (abstract) or JP 411285349 (abstract) taken with JP 01067194 and JP 72037612.

The teachings of JP 355157517 (abstract), JP 405004929 (abstract) or JP 411285349 (abstract) are above.

JP '194 teaches that alcohol precipitation is a well known extraction technique of mushrooms, see abstract.

JP '612 teaches that decomposition yields components from shiitake mushrooms, see abstract.

Art Unit: 1655

In the event that alcohol precipitation changes the properties of the composition yielded from the mushroom (which the examiner does not think is the case), then it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use alcohol precipitation to extract the shiitake mushroom of the primary references since it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made to perform such an extraction technique with beneficial results. Since decomposition of the shiitake is inevitable, it would have been inherent to the mushroom itself, but JP '612 is cited to show that such decomposition does help to extract components from the mushroom.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,164

Art Unit: 1655

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

MVM